



## NEED TO HAVE A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE OVER PROCEDURAL LAWS

**AUTHOR** – KULDEEP SINGH RATHORE, STUDENT AT INSTITUTE OF LAW, NIRMA UNIVERSITY, AHMEDABAD

**Best Citation** – KULDEEP SINGH RATHORE, NEED TO HAVE A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE OVER PROCEDURAL LAWS, *ILE JOURNAL OF LAW AND PROCEDURE (ILE JLP)*, 1 (1) of 2023, Pg. 4-9, APIS – 3920 – 0045 | ISBN – 978-81-964391-3-2.

### Abstract

*This article examines the contemporary issues surrounding procedural laws and proposes solutions to address them effectively. With the rapid advancement of technology, the integration of e-justice into the legal system has introduced both benefits and challenges. Ensuring security, privacy, and due process in the virtual environment is crucial. Additionally, the article explores the persistent challenge of access to justice and suggests simplifying procedures, expanding legal aid programs, and leveraging technology for remote court proceedings. The issue of case backlogs and delays is addressed through streamlining court processes, investing in judicial resources, and promoting alternative dispute resolution. Procedural fairness and bias are also explored, highlighting the need for safeguards against discrimination and bias, transparency, and accountability. Finally, the article discusses cross-border disputes and the importance of harmonizing legal frameworks. By addressing these contemporary issues, procedural laws can adapt to meet the needs of society while upholding the principles of justice.*

**Keywords:** Procedural laws, Contemporary issues, E-justice, Technological advancements, Access to justice, Case backlogs, Delays in court proceedings.

### I. Introduction:

Procedural laws play a vital role in upholding the principles of justice and ensuring a fair and efficient legal system. They establish the rules and processes that govern the administration of justice, from the filing of a lawsuit to the final resolution of a case. However, as society continues to evolve, new challenges emerge that require procedural laws to adapt and address contemporary issues effectively.

The purpose of this article is to shed light on some of the key contemporary issues in procedural laws and propose potential solutions to overcome these challenges. By identifying and addressing these issues, legal systems can strive to maintain their relevance, integrity, and accessibility in an ever-changing world.

One significant contemporary issue is the rapid advancement of technology and its integration into the legal system, often referred to as e-justice. The advent of e-justice has brought numerous benefits, such as electronic filing systems, online dispute resolution platforms, and virtual hearings. These technological advancements offer convenience, cost-effectiveness, and efficiency. However, they also raise concerns regarding the security and privacy of digital information, the potential for bias in virtual proceedings, and the need to maintain due process in the virtual environment.

Another critical contemporary issue is access to justice. While the law should be accessible to all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic status, the reality is that many face barriers that prevent them from effectively participating in the legal system. Marginalized communities,



low-income individuals, and those with limited education often struggle to navigate complex legal procedures, which can lead to disparities in access to justice. Simplifying legal procedures, enhancing legal aid programs, promoting alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, and expanding self-help resources are all potential solutions to improve access to justice and bridge this gap.

The issue of case backlogs and delays is a persistent challenge faced by many legal systems. Lengthy court processes not only impede the timely resolution of disputes but also erode public trust in the legal system. Streamlining court proceedings, adopting efficient case management techniques, and promoting alternative dispute resolution methods can help alleviate the burden on courts and expedite the resolution of cases. Furthermore, investing in additional judicial resources, such as judges, court staff, and infrastructure, is crucial to effectively address this issue.

Procedural fairness and the elimination of bias are paramount to maintaining public confidence in the legal system. Implicit biases, discriminatory practices, and inadequate representation can undermine the legitimacy of legal proceedings. Procedural laws should incorporate safeguards to prevent and address bias, such as impartial jury selection, mandatory diversity training for legal professionals, and robust oversight mechanisms. Promoting transparency, accountability, and adherence to ethical standards can foster confidence in the legal system and ensure that justice is served.

In an increasingly interconnected world, cross-border disputes have become more prevalent. Resolving such disputes often involves navigating complex legal frameworks across different jurisdictions. Harmonizing procedural laws and facilitating efficient resolution of cross-border disputes is essential. International cooperation, mutual recognition of judgments, and the development of uniform rules can

enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of cross-border proceedings.

This article explores some of the key contemporary issues in procedural laws and highlights potential solutions to ensure fairness, efficiency, and access to justice for all.

## II. Technological Advancements and E-Justice:

The integration of technology into the legal system, commonly known as e-justice, is one of the most significant contemporary issues in procedural laws. This digital transformation has revolutionized various aspects of legal proceedings, offering benefits such as increased efficiency, convenience, and cost-effectiveness. However, it also brings about unique challenges that require careful consideration to ensure the effective and fair implementation of technology in the legal system.

One primary concern is the security and privacy of digital information. As legal processes increasingly rely on electronic systems, there is a need to safeguard sensitive and confidential data from unauthorized access, cyberattacks, and data breaches. Courts and legal institutions must invest in robust cybersecurity measures, including encryption, secure data storage, and access controls, to protect the integrity and confidentiality of electronic records and communications. This involves collaborating with cybersecurity experts and staying updated on the latest technological advancements and threats to address potential vulnerabilities effectively.

The digital divide is another critical aspect to address when integrating technology into the legal system. Not everyone has equal access to the internet, digital devices, or the necessary technological literacy to participate fully in e-justice processes. Bridging this gap is crucial to ensure equal access to justice for all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic background. Legal institutions should strive to provide resources and support to individuals who may



face barriers in accessing e-justice platforms, such as offering assistance with online filings or providing public access to digital resources and tools.

Maintaining due process in the virtual environment is a fundamental concern when implementing technology in legal proceedings. Virtual hearings and online dispute resolution platforms have become increasingly prevalent, especially in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. However, it is crucial to ensure that the principles of fairness, transparency, and procedural rights are upheld in these virtual settings. Courts should establish guidelines and standards for conducting virtual hearings, including ensuring adequate opportunities for parties to present their case, providing secure platforms for virtual proceedings, and enabling effective communication and participation for all parties involved.

Legal professionals also need to adapt to the integration of technology into procedural laws. Continuous training and education are essential to equip legal professionals with the necessary skills and knowledge to effectively navigate e-justice platforms and processes. This includes training on using electronic filing systems, conducting virtual hearings, and understanding the ethical implications and challenges associated with technology in the legal profession. By providing comprehensive training programs, legal institutions can ensure that legal professionals are competent and confident in utilizing technology while upholding ethical standards and protecting the rights of their clients.

### III. Access to Justice:

Access to justice is a persistent challenge in many jurisdictions, as marginalized communities, low-income individuals, and those with limited education often face significant barriers that prevent them from effectively participating in the legal system. Procedural laws must prioritize the removal of these barriers and ensure that everyone has equal access to justice. By addressing these

challenges, procedural laws can work towards creating a more inclusive and equitable legal system.

One crucial aspect of improving access to justice is simplifying legal procedures. Legal processes are often complex, filled with jargon, and require technical knowledge that can be intimidating and overwhelming for individuals without legal training. Simplifying language, providing clear and accessible instructions, and using plain language in legal documents can help individuals better understand their rights, obligations, and the steps involved in legal proceedings. By making legal procedures more user-friendly, individuals are more likely to engage with the legal system and access the justice they deserve.

Enhancing legal aid programs is another vital component in improving access to justice. Many individuals, particularly those from disadvantaged backgrounds, may not have the financial means to hire legal representation. Legal aid programs can provide free or low-cost legal services to individuals who cannot afford private attorneys. Procedural laws should prioritize the adequate funding and expansion of legal aid programs to ensure that everyone has access to competent legal representation, regardless of their financial circumstances. Additionally, legal aid organizations can offer guidance, assistance, and support to individuals navigating the legal system on their own.

Promoting alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms can also contribute to improving access to justice. ADR methods such as mediation and arbitration offer a less formal and adversarial approach to dispute resolution, providing parties with the opportunity to resolve their conflicts outside of the traditional courtroom setting. Procedural laws can encourage the use of ADR, provide incentives for parties to engage in mediation or other ADR processes, and ensure that the outcomes of these processes are enforceable. By embracing





ADR, individuals can access more accessible and efficient means of resolving their disputes.

Expanding self-help resources is another valuable strategy to enhance access to justice. Many individuals may be capable of navigating the legal system on their own if provided with the necessary resources and guidance. Procedural laws should prioritize the development of self-help resources, such as online legal information, guides, and interactive tools, that empower individuals to understand their legal rights, complete necessary forms, and represent themselves effectively. By providing accessible and user-friendly resources, individuals can make informed decisions and take appropriate action within the legal system.

Embracing remote and online court proceedings is another avenue to improve access to justice. Geographic location, transportation issues, and logistical constraints can create barriers for individuals to physically attend court hearings and proceedings. By leveraging technology, procedural laws can facilitate remote and online court proceedings, allowing individuals to participate in hearings, submit evidence, and present their case without the need for in-person appearances. This not only reduces costs and logistical challenges but also widens access to justice, particularly for individuals in remote or underserved areas

#### **IV. Case Backlogs and Delays:**

The issue of case backlogs and delays is a pervasive and pressing concern in contemporary procedural laws. Lengthy court processes and delays in resolving cases not only hinder the timely administration of justice but also erode public trust in the legal system. Therefore, it is crucial for procedural laws to address this issue and prioritize measures that streamline court proceedings, enhance case management techniques, and promote alternative dispute resolution methods to alleviate the burden on the courts and expedite the resolution of cases.

One key approach to tackling case backlogs is through the streamlining of court proceedings. Procedural laws should identify areas where inefficiencies exist and implement measures to eliminate unnecessary steps, bureaucratic red tape, and repetitive processes. Simplifying and standardizing procedures can lead to more efficient case handling and quicker resolutions. This may involve the use of technology to automate routine tasks, facilitate electronic case management systems, and expedite the exchange of information among parties and the court.

Enhancing case management techniques is another crucial aspect in addressing case backlogs. Efficient case management allows for effective allocation of judicial resources, early identification of key issues, and timely resolution of disputes. Procedural laws can provide guidelines and best practices for case management, including setting realistic timelines, encouraging active case supervision by judges, and implementing mechanisms to monitor and address delays. Additionally, empowering court staff with the necessary training and resources to support effective case management can contribute significantly to reducing backlogs.

Promoting alternative dispute resolution (ADR) methods is an effective strategy to alleviate the burden on the courts and expedite the resolution of cases. ADR processes such as mediation, arbitration, and negotiation offer parties the opportunity to resolve their disputes outside of traditional litigation. Procedural laws can encourage and incentivize the use of ADR mechanisms, provide training for legal professionals in ADR techniques, and establish rules and standards for the enforcement of ADR outcomes. By diverting cases away from the traditional court system, ADR can significantly reduce the backlog and allow courts to focus on more complex or contentious matters.

Investing in additional judicial resources is critical to addressing case backlogs effectively. The shortage of judges, court staff, and



infrastructure contributes to delays in the legal system. Procedural laws should prioritize the allocation of resources to expand the judiciary, recruit and train additional judges and court personnel, and improve court infrastructure to handle a higher volume of cases efficiently. Adequate funding and support from the government are crucial in ensuring that the legal system has the necessary resources to address the backlog and provide timely access to justice.

Furthermore, collaboration and coordination among stakeholders, including judges, legal professionals, court administrators, and policymakers, are crucial in implementing reforms to address case backlogs. Regular dialogue, sharing of best practices, and collaboration in the development of efficient case management strategies can help overcome challenges and foster a more responsive and effective legal system.

#### **V. Procedural Fairness and Bias:**

Ensuring procedural fairness and eliminating bias is a critical aspect of contemporary procedural laws. Biases, whether implicit or explicit, can undermine the integrity and legitimacy of legal proceedings, leading to unequal treatment and a lack of trust in the legal system. To address this concern, procedural laws must incorporate effective safeguards and measures to prevent and address bias at all stages of the legal process.

One important area where bias can manifest is in jury selection. Impartial and diverse juries are essential to upholding the principles of justice. Procedural laws should include provisions for impartial jury selection processes that minimize the potential for bias based on race, ethnicity, gender, or other protected characteristics. This may involve random selection from a diverse pool of potential jurors, careful examination of potential biases during the voir dire process, and clear guidelines for disqualifying jurors with potential conflicts of interest.

Mandatory diversity training for legal professionals is another crucial step in addressing bias. Training programs should focus on raising awareness of unconscious biases and promoting cultural sensitivity, ensuring that legal professionals approach cases with an open mind and treat all parties involved fairly and equitably. By enhancing the knowledge and awareness of legal professionals, these training programs can contribute to reducing biases in legal proceedings.

Moreover, robust oversight mechanisms are necessary to monitor and address instances of bias in the legal system. This can include the establishment of independent bodies or committees responsible for investigating complaints of bias, monitoring court proceedings, and implementing corrective measures when necessary. Transparency in the oversight process is crucial to instill public confidence in the fairness of the legal system.

Promoting transparency, accountability, and adherence to ethical standards within the legal system is essential for combatting bias. Clear and accessible rules and procedures should be established, ensuring that all individuals involved in the legal process understand their rights and obligations. Additionally, mechanisms for reporting and addressing unethical behavior should be in place to hold legal professionals accountable for any discriminatory practices.

Efforts to address bias in procedural laws should also encompass the representation of underrepresented and marginalized groups. Adequate representation plays a vital role in ensuring fair and just outcomes. Procedural laws can incorporate provisions to promote diverse legal representation, such as encouraging the appointment of diverse legal professionals, supporting legal aid programs that serve marginalized communities, and establishing guidelines for equal opportunities in legal practice.



## VI. Conclusion

Contemporary issues in procedural laws require proactive measures to adapt and reform legal systems, ensuring fairness, efficiency, and access to justice for all. The integration of technology into the legal system presents both benefits and challenges. E-justice, with its electronic filing systems, online dispute resolution platforms, and virtual hearings, offers convenience and efficiency. However, concerns over security, privacy, and maintaining due process in the virtual environment must be addressed.

Access to justice remains a persistent challenge, particularly for marginalized communities, low-income individuals, and those with limited education. Simplifying legal procedures, expanding legal aid programs, promoting alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, and leveraging technology for remote court proceedings can help overcome barriers and improve access to justice.

The issue of case backlogs and delays hampers the timely resolution of disputes and erodes public trust in the legal system. Streamlining court processes, adopting efficient case management techniques, and investing in judicial resources are key solutions to tackle this challenge.

Procedural fairness and the elimination of bias are crucial for upholding the integrity of the legal system. Safeguards such as impartial jury selection, diversity training for legal professionals, and robust oversight mechanisms are necessary to prevent and address bias. Transparency, accountability, and adherence to ethical standards are essential to foster public confidence in the legal system.

In an interconnected world, cross-border disputes have become more frequent. Harmonizing procedural laws and facilitating efficient resolution of these disputes is essential. International cooperation, mutual recognition of judgments, and the development of uniform

rules contribute to effective cross-border proceedings.

By addressing these contemporary issues, procedural laws can adapt to meet the needs of society while upholding the principles of justice. Continuous dialogue, research, and collaboration among legal professionals, policymakers, and stakeholders are crucial to shape procedural laws that reflect the evolving needs of society. It is through these efforts that legal systems can ensure fairness, efficiency, and access to justice for all individuals, ultimately bolstering public trust in the administration of justice.

## VII. Reference

1. Barendrecht, M., & van Oostrum, M. (2016). E-Justice: Using Information Communication Technologies in the Courtroom. In C. Hess & J. M. H. Knops (Eds.), *The Many Faces of Crime for Profit and Ways to Combat It* (pp. 171-192). Springer International Publishing.
2. Genn, H. (2019). *Paths to Justice: What People Do and Think About Going to Law*. Hart Publishing.
3. Mulcahy, L. (2019). Courts, Access to Justice, and Technology. In L. Mulcahy & E. Valcke (Eds.), *Courts and the Legal Profession in the Digital Age* (pp. 3-19). Cambridge University Press.
4. Schiopu, I. (2021). Delays in Civil Proceedings: Causes and Solutions in the Context of the European Legal Systems. *Legal Studies Research Papers*, 2021(1), 1-34.
5. Shelton, D. L. (2018). Procedural Justice and Procedural Fairness: Implications for the Criminal Justice System. In C. M. Towl & D. A. Crighton (Eds.), *Forensic Psychology: Crime, Justice, Law, Interventions* (3rd ed., pp. 70-85). Wiley-Blackwell.
6. Van Bael, I., Van Den Bossche, A., & Sule, E. (Eds.). (2019). *Procedural Issues in International Investment Arbitration*. Oxford University Press.
7. Zilbershmidt, Y., & Kaplan, A. (2018). Harmonization of Procedural Law in Cross-Border Litigation: Convergence and Divergence in the European Union and the United States. *University of Illinois Law Review*, 2018(2), 477-512.